



MODE OF DELIVERY AND PERINATAL OUTCOME IN EARLY TERM AND FULL TERM DELIVERIES

Dr.Soniya Saini

Dept Of Obstetrics And Gynaecology SMS Medical Collage,jaipur ,rajasthan,302001

Dr.Shyam Lal

Dept Of Anaesthesiology SMS Medical Collage,jaipur ,rajasthan,302001

Dr.Suman Mittal

Dept Of Obstetrics And Gynaecology SMS Medical Collage,jaipur ,rajasthan,302001

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES: To determine effect of mode of deliveries and gestational age on perinatal outcome

STUDY DESIGN: This was Prospective Study at Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Zenana Hospital, SMS Medical College, Jaipur from March 2015 to February 2016.. Mode of delivery were categorized as caesarean section and vaginal deliveries. After excluding anomalies, rates of neonatal outcome by mode of deliveries were compared, correlated and statistically analyzed. Sample size was calculated to 300 subjects in each of the two groups(early term and full term neonates).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION: perinatal morbidities were slightly higher in neonates delivered by LSCS and in early term birth group. Respiratory distress was seen more in early term neonates (6.00%) and in LSCS group (54.54%).NICU admission was more in early term birth (21.33%) and in LSCS group (51.23%). No significant difference were noted between the 2 groups for APGAR scores at 1 and 5 minutes.

CONCLUSION: This study demonstrates that neonatal morbidities were more in caesarean section group performed at early term period (37 weeks to 38 weeks 6days).So, gestational age and mode of delivery remains a predictor of neonatal morbidity. Despite the limitations of this study, it highlights the need for further research evaluating the effects of the rising cesarean delivery rates.

KEYWORDS

Caesarean Section, Neonatal Morbidities, Neonatal Outcome

Article History

Received

23/02/2018

Accepted

11/04/2018

Published

05/06/2018

*Corresponding Author

Dr.shyam Lal

Dept Of Anaesthesiology SMS Medical Collage,jaipur ,rajasthan,302001 drshyamsaini87@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Elective caesarean section rates have risen over the last decade worldwide because of obstetrical recommendations and maternal request have the potential for a significant impact on public health and health care costs because of the morbidity associated with this subgroup. The increase in this rate is not associated with clear benefit for the baby or mother but proportionally associated with increased morbidity for both. Moreover, these increases in cesarean delivery rates which may not always be explained by clinical indications have led to efforts to reduce the number of cesarean deliveries.¹ Neonatal adverse outcomes in infants born before 39 weeks of gestation are increased and increase progressively as gestational age at birth declines. Infants born by cesarean section in comparison with those born vaginally are at increased risk for adverse respiratory outcomes, especially when delivery occurs before the onset of labor, even in infant who are delivered at or beyond 37 completed weeks of gestation, so it is very important to choose the correct timing for elective caesarean section to prevent unnecessary morbidities¹. Tita et al noticed those elective cesareans before 39 weeks of gestation are common and are associated with respiratory and other adverse neonatal outcome.²

This study aims to study the associations between mode of delivery and short-term perinatal outcomes.

MATERIAL AND METHOD:

Study design –prospective study

Place of study – department of obstetrics & gynaecology, zenana hospital, sms medical college, Jaipur ,Rajasthan.

Duration of study –march 2015 to February 2016

Sample size – sample size was calculated to 296 subjects in each of the two groups at alpha error 0.05 & study power 80% assuming the proportion of the low birth weight among full term & early term pregnancy to be 0.8% & 5% respectively {as per seed article} hence for study purpose 300 subjects were taken in each of two groups.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Regular menstrual cycle and sure of dates

- 37 wks to 40 wks 6 days- grouped into two-
- 1. Early term births-gestational age between 37 weeks to 38 weeks 6days
- 2. Full term- gestational age between 39 weeks to 40 weeks 6days
- Spontaneous labour
- Premature rupture of membrane
- Previous two caesarean
- No recorded indication
- Any planned caesarean, eg. Breech, transverse lie

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- Difficulty in determining gestational age
- Preterm, late term, post term pregnancy
- Aph
- Multiple pregnancy
- Iugr
- Congenital anomalies
- Medical illness
- Decreased fetal movements

METHODOLOGY

Prospective study of women admitting in labour room with gestational age between 37 wks to 40 wks and 6 days at zenana hospital, sms medical college, jaipur was conducted. Exclusion and inclusion criteria applied. Gestational age estimated by lmp or first usg. Mode of deliveries identified. Data of early neonatal outcomes in early term and full term deliveries were compared, correlated and statistically analyzed. Chi-square test was used to assess statistical significance of association. P-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

CLINICAL EVALUATION:

The Recruited Group Were Subjected To:-

Detailed history

Examination

Investigation –

routine anc investigations, usg

RESULTS:

600 subjects were recruited on the basis of inclusion and exclusion criteria. A form was completed for each subjects, a detailed medical and obstetric history taken, clinical examination and routine antenatal investigations and USG done. Data of early neonatal outcomes in early term and full term deliveries were compared, correlated and statistically analyzed.

Salient features of this study were: -Mean age of study population 24.12 3.61 years. The urban population constituted major part of study population (68.67%). Majority of study population belonged to middle class (71.67%) and maximum cases were Hindu (83%). Majority of cases could read and write (70%).

OBSERVATION

Table - 1: Distribution According to mode of Delivery of early term birth and full term birth

Mode of delivery	early term birth		full term birth	
	No.	%	No.	%
LSCS	148	49.33	130	43.33
NVD	152	50.67	170	56.67
TOTAL	300	100.00	300	100.00
$x^2 = 2.170$	d.f. = 1	$p > 0.05$	NS	

Table-2: Distribution of mode of Delivery According to RESPIRATORY DISTRESS(RD) of New Born Baby of early term birth and full term birth

Mode of deliver	Early Term Birth	Full Term Birth				
		RD PRESENT	RD ABSENT	TOTAL	RD PRESENT	RD ABSENT
LSCS	10 (3.33%)	138 (46%)	148 (49.33%)	8 (2.67%)	122 (40.67%)	130 (43.33%)
VD	8 (2.67%)	144 (48%)	152 (50.67%)	7 (2.33%)	163 (54.33%)	170 (56.67%)
TOTAL	18 (6.00%)	282 (94.00%)	300 (100.00%)	15 (5.00%)	285 (95.00%)	300 (100.00%)

$LSCS: x^2 = 0.0415$ d.f. = 1 $p > 0.05$ NS

$VD: x^2 = 0.2371$ d.f. = 1 $p > 0.05$ NS

TABLE-3: Distribution of MODE of Delivery According to NICU ADMISSION of New Born Baby of early term birth and full term birth

Mode of deliveries	early term birth		full term birth			
	NICU admission PRESENT	Total ABSENT	NICU admission PRESENT	Total ABSENT		
LSCS	33 (11%)	115 (38.33%)	148 (49.33%)	29 (9.67%)	101 (33.67%)	130 (43.33%)
VD	31 (10.33%)	121 (40.34%)	152 (50.67%)	28 (9.33%)	142 (47.33%)	170 (56.67%)
TOTAL	64(21.33 %)	236 (78.67%)	300(100%)	57(19.00%)	243(81.0 0%)	300 (100 %)

$LSCS: x^2 = 0.1724$ d.f. = 1 $p > 0.05$ NS

$VD: x^2 = 0.8257$ d.f. = 1 $p > 0.05$ NS

TABLE-4: Distribution of MODE of Delivery According to APGAR SCORE AT one minute of New Born Baby of early term birth and full term birth

Mode of deliveries	Early Term Birth		Full Term Birth			
	APGAR AT ONE MINUTE		APGAR AT ONE MINUTE			
	<7/10	>7/10	<7/10	>7/10		
LSCS	50(16.6 6%)	98 (32.67%)	148 (49.33%)	43 (14.33%)	87 (29%)	130 (43.33%)

VD	44 (14.67 %)	108 (36.00%)	152 (50.66%)	40 (13.34%)	130 (43%)	170 (56.67%)
TOTAL	94 (31.33 %)	206 (68.67%)	300 (100.00%)	83 (27.67%)	217 (72.33%)	300 (100.00%)

$LSCS: x^2 = 0.0155$ d.f. = 1 $p > 0.05$ NS

$VD: x^2 = 1.2217$ d.f. = 1 $p > 0.05$ NS

Table -5: Distribution of MODE of Delivery According to APGAR SCORE AT 5 minute of New Born Baby of early term birth and full term birth

Mode Of Deliveries	Early Term Birth		Full Term Birth			
	APGAR AT 5 MINUTE	TOTAL	APGAR AT 5 MINUTE	TOTAL		
<7/10	>7/10		<7/10	>7/10		
LSCS	27(9.0 0%)	121 (40.33%)	148 (49.33%)	21 (7.00%)	109 (36.33%)	130 (43.33%)
VD	22 (7.33%)	130 (43.33%)	152 (50.67%)	17 (5.66%)	153 (51%)	170 (56.67%)
TOTAL	49 (16.33 %)	251 (83.66%)	300 (100.00%)	38 (12.66%)	262 (87.33%)	300 (100.00%)

$LSCS: x^2 = 0.2115$ d.f. = 1 $p > 0.05$ NS

$VD: x^2 = 1.5088$ d.f. = 1 $p > 0.05$ NS

DISCUSSION:

In our study, Early term births were more in primi gravida subjects (43.33%). More early-term infants were delivered by cesarean section compared with term infants (49.33%). Sengupta S et al (2013) also reported more early-term infants were delivered by cesarean section compared with term infants, which is a contributor to longer duration of hospital stay and more respiratory morbidity in this population.⁴ Ramprakash MA et al (2016) also reported 52.2% LSCS in cases⁵

Respiratory distress was seen more in early term neonates (6.00%) and in LSCS group (54.54%). Respiratory difficulties encountered in newborns delivered at term by caesarian section are known as transient tachypnea of the newborn (TTN), probably result from a failure of the mechanisms to resorb fetal lung fluid that are typically triggered during vaginal birth.

NICU admission was more in early term birth (21.33%) and in LSCS group (51.23%). NICU admission were more in LSCS and early term group because respiratory morbidities more in LSCS group and due to physiological immaturity in early term group. According to Tracy SK (2007) reported that NICU admission rate of early term 19.57%.³ No significant difference were noted between the 2 groups for APGAR scores at 1 and 5 minutes. Sengupta S et al (2013) reported that no significant difference were noted between the 2 groups for APGAR scores of 5 or less at 1 and 5 minutes.⁴ Cheng YW et al (2008) reported that neonates delivered at 37 weeks had increase in risk of having a low 5 minute APGAR score (aOR 1.69 [95% CI, 1.59-1.79] for 5 minute APGAR less than 7 and aOR 1.87 [95%CI, 1.63-2.15] for 5 minute APGAR less than 4).⁶

CONCLUSION-

This study's finding that cesarean delivery is associated with more respiratory distress syndrome. Additionally, cesarean deliveries are more costly to the health care system. These risks and costs may be justified if cesarean delivery improves neonatal outcomes. However, in this study cesarean delivery compared with vaginal delivery was not associated with any statistically significant benefit for neonates. There is no doubt that, in addition to mode of delivery, gestational age also affects long-term respiratory function, but this study suggests that, like with full-term newborns, vaginal delivery (and probably labor in general) provides neonates with an early respiratory advantage compared with cesarean delivery.

This study focuses the light on a new dark corner of neonatal morbidities secondary to unjustified early ECS. Conversely a significant reduction in the neonatal morbidities may be obtained if the timing of planned elective Cesareans postponed till or beyond 38 weeks of gestation. Despite the limitations of this study, it highlights

the need for further research evaluating the effects of the rising cesarean delivery rates.

REFERENCES:

1. Mohammad Hourani, MD.,1 Fouad Ziade, PhD.,2 and Mariam Rajab, MD.1 Timing of planned caesarean section and the morbidities of the newborn doi: 10.4297/najms.2011.3465 N Am J Med Sci. 2011 Oct; 3(10):465–468.
2. Alan T.N. Tita, M.D., Ph.D., Mark B. Landon, M.D., Catherine Y. Spong, M.D., Yinglei Lai, Ph.D., Kenneth J. Leveno, M.D., Michael W. Varner, M.D., Atef H. Moawad, M.D., Steve N. Caritis, M.D., Paul J. Meis, M.D., Ronald J. Wapner, M.D., Yoram Sorokin, M.D., Menachem Miodovnik, M.D., et al., Timing of Elective Repeat Cesarean Delivery at Term and Neonatal Outcomes. The Eunice Kennedy Shriver NICHD Maternal–Fetal Medicine Units Network*. N Engl J Med, 2009 Jan 8;360(2):111-20.
3. Tracy SK, Tracy MB, Sullivan E. Admission of term infants to neonatal intensive care : a population-based study. Birth, 2007 Dec; 34(4): 301-7
4. Sengupta S, Carrion V, Shelton J, Wynn RJ, Ryan RM, Singhal K, Lakshminrusimha S. Adverse neonatal outcomes associated with early-term birth. JAMA Pediatr, 2013 Nov;167(11): 1053-9.
5. Ramprakash MA, Charanraj H, Manikumar S, Srinivasan K, Umadevi L, Giridhar S, Rathinasamy. Neonatal outcomes in early term neonates versus term neonates in a tertiary care hospital - A cross sectional comparative study, IAIM, 2016;3(4) :21-26.
6. Cheng YW, Nicholson JM, Nakagawa S, Bruckner TA, Washington AE, Caughey AB. Perinatal outcomes in low-risk term pregnancies: do they differ by week of gestation? Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2008 Oct; 199(4): 370.e1-7.
7. Parikh LI, Reddy UM, Männistö T, Mendola P, Sjaarda L, Hinkle S, Chen Z, Lu Z, Laughon SK. Neonatal outcomes in early term birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2014 Sep;211(3): 265.e1-265.e11.
8. World Health Organization. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems. Rev. 10, vols 1 and 2, ICD-10. Geneva: WHO; 1992.
9. American Academy of Pediatrics/American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Appendix D: standard terminology for reporting of reproductive health statistics in the United States. In: Guidelines for perinatal care. 6th ed. Elk Grove (IL): AAP/ACOG; 2007. p. 389–404.