



MATERNAL AND NEONATAL OUTCOME IN PATIENTS WITH VAGINAL BIRTH AFTER CESAREAN SECTION

Dr Mahantappa A Chiniwar Professor Dept of OBG Adichunchanagiri Institute of Medical Sciences Bellur B G Nagar 571448, Tq Nagamangala, Dist Mandya Karnataka

Dr Sharada B Menasinkai Prof Dept of Anatomy Adichunchanagiri Institute of Medical Sciences Bellur B G Nagar 571448, Tq Nagamangala, Dist Mandya Karnataka

ABSTRACT

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A prospective Study was undertaken to know the neonatal and maternal outcome in patients admitted with previous Cesarean Section (C S) for the period of 1 yr and 9 months in Govt Medical College Mysore from Oct 98 to June 2000. The details of previous C S collected from cases admitted with previous C S. Present pregnancy details were collected. Mode of delivery, or C S details were noted. Vaginal delivery monitored and failed trial cases were taken for repeat C S. Maternal and neonatal outcome was studied in the VBAC and repeat C S cases.

RESULTS: Among the total 14164 admissions to labour ward, there were 942 patients with previous C S (14.87%) Elective C S was done for 530 cases and 412 cases were planned for trial of labour and 311 had vaginal deliveries, with success of vaginal birth after cesarean (VBAC) 75.48%. There were 5 cases with rupture uterus and subtotal hysterectomy was in 3 and closure of rent in 2 cases. Repeat C S was done in 96 cases. Neonatal outcome in VBAC babies was, 83.28% healthy, 7.72% had morbidity & admitted to NICU and 9% had mortality. Neonatal outcome in repeat C S were normal 32.3%, morbidity and admission to NICU 41.66%, mortality 26.04%. Maternal mortality 2 unbooked patients, 1 was associated with asthma and COPD, another with severe anemia and scar rupture.

KEYWORDS

cesarean section (C S), vaginal birth after cesarean section (VBAC), NICU, Perinatal morbidity, & mortality, subtotal hysterectomy

Article History

Received

05/01/2018

Accepted

29/03/2018

Published

05/06/2018

*Corresponding Author

Dr Sharada B Menasinkai

Prof Dept of Anatomy Adichunchanagiri Institute of Medical Sciences Bellur B G Nagar 571448, Tq Nagamangala, Dist Mandya Karnataka drsharadabm@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Cesarean section (C S) an operation mainly evolved to save a maternal life during difficult childbirth, has now become increasingly the procedure of choice in high risk situations to prevent neonatal morbidity and mortality. This alarming rise has been a matter of concern to the profession and public1.

Planned vaginal birth after cesarean section (VBAC) is appropriate for and may be offered to the majority of women with singleton pregnancy of cephalic presentation at 37+ 0 wks or beyond who have had previous lower segment cesarean delivery, with or without a history of previous vaginal birth2.

VBAC is recommended after one C S, but preferably not after second C S, as it increases maternal morbidity and mortality. The rates of C S are rising all over the world. And India being a low resource country sometimes the poor families can't afford the C S. In primary health centers there may not be facilities available for fetal monitoring or anaesthesia and there is lack of trained personal. Vaginal delivery has less chances of infection, no need of general or spinal anaesthesia, early ambulation and early discharge, better bonding and breast feeding².

Success of VBAC is 72-75%. Women should be informed that planned VBAC is associated with approximately 1 in 200 (0.5%) risk of uterus rupture. Women should be informed of the two to three fold increased risk of uterine rupture and around 1.5 fold increased risk of cesarean delivery in induced and/or augmented labour compared with spontaneous VBAC labour².

Cragin's dictum of "once a cesarean always a cesarean" contributed to 30-50% rise in cesarean rates in the United States, till 1980s^{3,4}. A series of studies in 1980 reported the relative safety of attempting VBAC. A large meta analysis showed maternal mortality of 2.8 per 10,000 for women undergoing planned VBAC and 2.4 per 10,000 for

women having an elective C S. Uterine dehiscence and rupture occur in less than 2% of planned VBAC, and percentage is same in women having an routine repeat C S. Perinatal mortality and morbidity rates were similar with the babies of women having planned VBAC and elective repeat C S.

The present study was undertaken to know the maternal and fetal outcome in the present pregnancy of patients with previous one C S in our hospital being a tertiary care center.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective study was done for a period of 1 yr 9 months (Oct 1998 – June 2000) in Cheluvamba Hospital attached to Government Medical College Mysore. This study has been done on patients admitted for safe confinement with previous history of C S.

Inclusion criteria were: All women with previous one lower segment cesarean section, cephalic presentation, live single fetus and gestational age between 37 to 40 wks.

Exclusion criteria were: All women with history of classical cesarean section, hysterotomy, myomectomy, more than one C S, abnormal presentation.

Detailed history of the patients were recorded in a proforma at the time of admission about previous C S, particulars regarding indications, post operative morbidity, weight of the baby and interval between previous section and present pregnancy. Women with > 4 visits in our hospital were considered as booked cases and < 3 visits and referred cases were considered as unbooked.

History during present pregnancy, clinical examination findings, investigation reports were noted down. Women who were selected for trial of labour were carefully monitored for pulse, BP, uterine contractions, scar tenderness and progress of labour. PGE2 gel was

used in few cases to induce labour. ARM was done after 4 cms of cervical dilatation and colour of liquor was noted to monitor the progress of labour. Oxytocin augmentation was done in cases when contractions were inadequate. Outlet forceps or ventouse delivery was done in indicated cases.

Trial of labour was abandoned in few cases due to fetal distress, scar tenderness and threatened rupture and resorted to repeat C S. Mode of delivery recorded in terms of spontaneous vaginal delivery, assisted delivery, instrumental delivery or C S. Postoperative findings of both mother and baby were noted.

OBSERVATIONS

During the study period there were 14164 admissions to labour ward include 942 patients with previous C S, and 586 (56.9%) were booked and 356 (37.79%) were unbooked.

Table 1: Percentage of previous cesarean section cases

Previous history	Total number of cases	Vaginal deliveries	Cesarean section	% of LSCS
Primigravida & previous normal delivery	13222	11756	1476	11.16
Previous LSCS	942	311	631	66.98
Total	14164	12062	2107	14.87

Among 942 patients with previous C S, elective C S was done for 530 cases and 412 cases were selected for trial of labour. Vaginal birth conducted in 311 cases, and repeat C S was decided for 101 cases. Success of VBAC was 75.48%.

Table 2: Indications for primary C S in VBAC cases (n 311)

Indications	No. of cases	311	%
Fetal distress	58		18.64
Breech	32		10.28
Transverse lie	30		9.64
CPD	31		9.96
PROM	30		9.64
Cervical dystocia	20		6.43
PIH	18		18
Deep transverse arrest	10		3.21
Placenta previa	10		3.21
Unknown	72		23.04

Table 3: mode of delivery in VBAC cases no 311

Mode of delivery	Number of cases	%
Spontaneous	100	32.17
Assisted labour (ARM,+oxytocin)	152	48.87
Forceps delivery	43	13.82
Ventouse	16	5.14
Total	311	100

Among the 412 trial of labour cases, 5 cases had rupture uterus and subtotal hysterectomy was done for 3 cases and closure of the rent in 2 cases. In the study repeat C S was done in 96 cases. Table 4 is showing % of indications for repeat C S in the study.

Table 4: Indications for repeat cesarean section in failed trial of labour cases (96)

INDICATIONS	Number of cases	%
Fetal distress	50	52.08
Scar tenderness	14	14.58
Cervical dystocia	12	12.50
Failed induction	8	8.33
Deep transverse arrest	12	12.50
Total	96	100

neonatal outcome in VBAC cases - 259 healthy babies, 40 babies required admission to NICU and neonatal mortality in 12 babies. The causes of perinatal mortality were, intra uterine death -3, prematurity - 6, congenital malformations 3.

The perinatal outcome of 96 repeat C S babies were healthy 31 babies, morbidity in 40 babies who required NICU admission and mortality in 25 babies. The causes for mortality were intra uterine death -2, prematurity -11, others- 12.

Maternal outcome in VBAC cases, morbidity was seen in 21 cases, second degree perineal tear- 3, PPH -3, MRP- 3, scar dehiscence - 3, rupture uterus seen in 5 cases, and subtotal hysterectomy done in 3 and closure of rent in 2 case. Maternal mortality was seen in 2 unbooked cases 1 due to asthma with COPD and another case due to severe anemia and scar rupture.

DISCUSSION

There is a wide spread public and professional concern about the increasing proportions of birth by C S world wide^{3,4}.increasing rates of primary C S have led to an increased proportion of obstetric population who have a history of prior cesarean delivery. Pregnant women with previous C S may be offered either a trial for VBAC or an elective repeat C S. Proportion of women who decline VBAC, is in turn a significant determinant of overall rates of cesarean births^{3,4}.

The overall rate of repeat of VBAC as reported in literature, varies from 28-51%^{4,5}.The sample size in the present study was 412 and 311 had VBAC giving a 75.46%. Sharma A et al1 reported 27.45%, Uma Pandey et al2 reported 61.76%, Anagha A et al3 reported 26.56%, Akanksha Nigam et al4 reported 23%, Manikya Rao et al5 reported 48% and Astha Lalwani et al 6 reported 71%.Present study is comparable with the study by Astha Lalwani et al6.

In the present study the most common indication 52.08% for repeat C S was fetal distress, 25% for cervical dystocia and deep transverse arrest. To compare the results with other studies, Sharma A et al 1 reported fetal distress in 28.37% and non progress of labour in 22.97%, Uma Pandey et al 2 reported 53.84% for fetal distress and 10% for failure to progress, Anagha A et al3 reported 52.88% for fetal distress, Akanksha Nigam et al4 reported 62.9% for fetal distress and 18.5% nonprogress of labour and Manikya Rao et al5 reported over all 80% for fetal distress and non progress of labour. Present study is comparable with the study reported by Akanksha N et al4 and Manikya Rao et al5.

Scar dehiscence defined as disruption of uterine muscle with intact serosa, was seen in 1.06% in the present study, The % of scar dehiscence in other studies, Anagha N et al3 reported 2.75%,Akanksha N et al4 reported 7.4% seen intra operatively during repeat C S. and Manikya Rao et al5 reported 2%. Rupture of the uterus was seen in 5 patients among trial of labour in the present study (1.21%), and subtotal hysterectomy done in 3 and closure of the rent in 2 cases and repeat C S done for 96 cases. Percentage of rupture uterus 0.98% in a study reported by Sharma A et al1.

Maternal morbidity following VBAC in the present study was second degree perineal tear 0.73%,PPH 0.73%, MRP done for 0.48%. comparing with other studies, Uma Pandey et al 2 reported pyrexia 7.1%, blood transfusion done for 7.1%, UTI 7.1%, episiotomy infection in 7.1%, Anagha A et al3 reported pyrexia 5.53%, blood transfusion 3.4%, UTI 2.55%, Manikya Rao et al5 reported 23.07% overall morbidity. Maternal mortality rate in the present study was 0.42%, there were no mortality in study reported by, Anagha N et al3 ,Akanksha N et al4 .

Perinatal outcome in VBAC cases is compared with other studies. In the present study morbidity is more indicating NICU admissions. Perinatal mortality in the study is due to IUD (5) , congenital malformations (5) and 2 babies stillbirth.

Table 5: Perinatal outcome in VBAC

Baby status	Present study	Sharma A et al1	Manikya et al 5	S Rao	Akanksha N et al4
Healthy	259 (83.27%)	25 (89.28%)	O		23 (100%)
Morbidity	40 (12.87%)	3 (0.96%)	O		0
Mortality	12 (3.85%)	0 (0%)	O		0
Total	311	28	0		23

CONCLUSION

VBAC is more successful in cases with previous non recurrent indications2. It is essential to counsel the patients with the history of prior cesarean section, ideally during antenatal period, regarding the benefits and risks of VBAC, enabling them to make informed choice early and probably bring down the repeat cesarean section rate5.

Induction is safe in selected cases oxytocin is effective and is recommended in response to standard obstetric indication. However PGE2 induction/augmentation needs caution. In properly selected women VBAC can constitute safe form of management6.

In absence of severe morbidity associated with scar dehiscence following a trial for VBAC and with low maternal and perinatal morbidity, vaginal deliveries are much safer outcome than repeat C S4. Vigilance regarding the indication of primary C S, proper patient selection and counseling for trial of scar, careful observation throughout in a well equipped unit are key to reducing C S rate1.

REFERENCES

- 1 Sharma A, Sharma U, Chudhary P, Acharya R, Choudhary A, Hanspal J. Maternal and Neonatal outcome in Patients with History of Previous One Cesarean Section. Indian Medical Gazette May 2012; 169-173.
- 2 Uma Pandey and Priyanka Tripathy. Success of VBAC in a Tertiary Hospital. J of Gynaecology and Women's Health:2(1):JGWH.MS.ID.555577(2017).
- 3 Anagha A, Jinturkar, Dipti Dongaonkar. Study of Obstetric and Fetal Outcome of Post Cesarean Section Pregnancy at Tertiary Care Center. International J of Recent Trends in Science And Technology, ISSN 2277-2812 E- ISSN 2249-8109, Volume 10, Issue 3 2014.
- 4 Akanksha Nigam, Rakesh Anand, Niyati Jain. Study of obstetric and fetal outcome of post cesarean pregnancy. International J of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetric and Gynaecology. 2015 Feb;4(1):215-218.
- 5 Dr. S. Manikya A, Rao M D, Dr. Sravanti S, Dr. B. Sandhy A. Maternal and Fetal Outcome Following Trial of Labour after Previous Cesarean Section (Tolac).IOSR. Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR JDMS) e-ISSN:2279 -0853, P-ISSN: 2279 -0861. Volume 15, Issue 1 Ver. VI (Jan 2016), pp 71 -76.
- 6 Astha Lalwani, Rehana Nagam. A Clinical Study on Prospect of Vaginal Birth in Post Cesarean Pregnancy.annals of International Medical and Dental Research, Vol (3), Issue (5).